arXiv:gr-qc/0102021v1 6 Feb 2001

Bäcklund Transformations of Einstein's Field Equations for the Interior of a Uniformly Rotating Stationary Axisymmetric Perfect Fluid

E. Kyriakopoulos
Department of Physics
National Technical University
157 80 Zografou, Athens, GREECE

Abstract

Clairin's method of obtaining Bäcklund transformations is applied to Einstein's field equations for the interior of a uniformly rotating stationary axisymmetric perfect fluid. It is shown that for arbitrary pressure p and mass density μ the method does not give non-trivial Bäcklund transformations, while if $\mu + 3p = 0$ it gives the transformation of Ehlers.

PACS number(s): 04.20.Jb, 04.20.Ex

1 Introduction

To get solutions of a non-linear second order partial differential equation or a system of such equations one may use Bäcklund Transformations (BTs), provided that these equations do have such transformations [1].A BT consists of a set of first order partial differential equations relating a solution of the given equations to another solution of the given equations (or to a solution of another set of equations.) A method of getting BTs has been introduced by Clairin [2], [3] and it has been shown that by this method we get all known BTs of the Ernst's equation [4] i.e. the transformations of Ehlers [5] of Neugenbauer [6] and of Harrison [7]. Also by this method a BT of the Einstein-Maxwell equations has been found [8].

BTs of Einstein's equations for the interior of a uniformly rotating, stationary, axisymmetric perfect fluid are not known and this is one of the reasons the number of solutions of these equations is limited. In this work we shall try to find BTs of these equations by Clairin's method. We use a form of Einstein's equations which is very convenient for the application of the method [9]. In Sect 2 we apply the method in the general case i.e. in the case in which we don't impose any specific relation between the pressure p and the energy density μ of the fluid i.e. for arbitrary equation of state. We show that in this case the method does not give no-trivial BTs. In Sect. 3 we assume for the fluid an equation of state of the form $p+3\mu=0$ and we get non-trivial BTs. The general solution of the system of first order partial differential equations of the BTs is found, and it is shown that this leads to the transformation of Ehlers [5].

2 Bäcklund Transformations in the General Case

We shall give some definitions and briefly state some results of Ref [9]. The metric for stationary axially symmetric space times admitting 2-spaces orthogonal to the Killing vectors $\vec{\xi}=\partial_t$ and $\vec{\eta}=\partial_\phi$ can be written in the form

$$ds^2 = e^{-2U}\{e^{2K}(d\rho^2+dz^2)+F^2d\phi^2\} - e^{2U}(dt+Ad\phi)^2 \tag{1}$$

where $U=U(\rho,z)$, $K=K(\rho,z)$, $F=F(\rho,z)$ and $A=A(\rho,z)$. For a perfect fluid source we have the energy-momentum tensor

$$T_{ab}=(\mu+p)u_au_b+pg_{ab} \tag{2}$$

where u_a are the components of the 4-velocity and μ and p are the mass density and the pressure of the fluid respectively.

We shall introduce the notation

$$A=-\omega,\, e^{2U}=T,\, \xi=\rho+iz,\, \eta=\rho-iz \tag{3}$$

and the potentials ϕ and E by

$$\omega_\rho=\frac{F}{T^2}\phi_z,\, \omega_z=-\frac{F}{T^2}\phi_\rho,\, E=T+i\phi \tag{4}$$

where a letter as an index in a function means differentiation with respect to the corresponding variable e.g. $\omega_\rho=\frac{\partial\omega}{\partial\rho}$. Also we put

$$lnF=G,\, 2K-G=M \tag{5}$$

$$\Lambda=\frac{1}{2}(E+\overline{E})(\nabla^2E+\frac{1}{F}\vec{\nabla}F\cdot\vec{\nabla}E)-\vec{\nabla}E\cdot\vec{\nabla}E=0 \tag{6}$$

where

$$\nabla^2 = \partial^2_{\rho\rho} + \partial^2_{zz},\ \vec{\nabla} = \hat{\rho}\partial_\rho + \hat{z}\partial_z \tag{7}$$

with $\hat{\rho}$ and $\hat{z}$ unit vectors in the direction of the ρ and z axis respectively. Then Einstein's field equations take the form [9]

$$G_{\eta\eta} - M_\eta G_\eta + \frac{1}{2T^2}(T_\eta^2 + \phi_\eta^2) = 0 \tag{8}$$

$$M_{\eta\xi} - G_\eta G_\xi + \frac{1}{2T^2}(T_\eta T_\xi + \phi_\eta \phi_\xi) = 0 \tag{9}$$

$$\frac{1}{T} Im\Lambda = \phi_{\eta\xi} + \frac{1}{2}(G_\eta \phi_\xi + G_\xi \phi_\eta) - \frac{1}{T}(T_\eta \phi_\xi + T_\xi \phi_\eta) = 0 \tag{10}$$

$$p = 2Te^{-G-M}(G_{\eta\xi} + G_\eta G_\xi) \tag{11}$$

$$\mu = -3p + \frac{1}{T} Re\Lambda \tag{12}$$

where the expressions $Im\Lambda$ and $Re\Lambda$ are the imaginary and the real parts of Λ respectively.

We shall try to find BTs in the general case i.e. if we don't impose any relation between p and μ. We shall apply the method of Clairin [2], [3] to Eqs (8) - (10). Having found such a transformation we shall use Eqs (11) and (12) to determine p' and μ' of the new solution.The most general forms of BTs are :

$$\begin{aligned} G'_\eta &= \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 G_\eta + \alpha_2 M_\eta + \alpha_3 T_\eta + \alpha_4 \phi_\eta + \alpha_5 G_\xi \\ &+ \alpha_6 M_\xi + \alpha_7 T_\xi + \alpha_8 \phi_\xi \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

$$\begin{aligned} M'_\eta &= \beta_0 + \beta_1 G_\eta + \beta_2 M_\eta + \beta_3 T_\eta + \beta_4 \phi_\eta + \beta_5 G_\xi \\ &+ \beta_6 M_\xi + \beta_7 T_\xi + \beta_8 \phi_\xi \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

$$\begin{aligned} T'_\eta &= \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 G_\eta + \gamma_2 M_\eta + \gamma_3 T_\eta + \gamma_4 \phi_\eta + \gamma_5 G_\xi \\ &+ \gamma_6 M_\xi + \gamma_7 T_\xi + \gamma_8 \phi_\xi \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi'_\eta &= \delta_0 + \delta_1 G_\eta + \delta_2 M_\eta + \delta_3 T_\eta + \delta_4 \phi_\eta + \delta_5 G_\xi \\ &+ \delta_6 M_\xi + \delta_7 T_\xi + \delta_8 \phi_\xi \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

$$G'_\xi = \overline{G'_\eta},\ M'_\xi = \overline{M'_\eta},\ T'_\xi = \overline{T'_\eta},\ \phi_\xi = \overline{\phi'_\eta} \tag{17}$$

where $R = R(G, M, T, \phi, G', M', T', \phi', \xi, \eta),\ R = \alpha_0, \alpha_1, ..., \alpha_8, \beta_0, ..., \delta_8$. If we substitute the above expressions into Eqs (8)-(10) for the quantities with prime and into the integrability conditions

$$G'_{\eta\xi} - G'_{\xi\eta} = M'_{\eta\xi} - M'_{\xi\eta} = T'_{\eta\xi} - T'_{\xi\eta} = \phi'_{\eta\xi} - \phi'_{\xi\eta} = 0 \tag{18}$$

the relations we shall get should hold for any solution. Therefore the coefficients of all linearly independent quantities should vanish. Such quantities are : $G_{\eta\xi}$, $M_{\eta\eta}$, $M_{\xi\xi}$, $T_{\eta\xi}$, $T_{\eta\eta}$, $T_{\xi\xi}$, $\phi_{\eta\eta}$, $\phi_{\xi\xi}$, S_iS_j, S_i, where $S = G$, M, T, ϕ, and i, j = ξ, η.

We get

$$\begin{aligned}
&G'_{\eta\eta} - M'_\eta G'_\eta + \frac{1}{2T'^2}(T'^2_\eta + \phi'^2_\eta) = \alpha_2 M_{\eta\eta} + \alpha_3 T_{\eta\eta} \\
&+\alpha_4\phi_{\eta\eta} + \alpha_5 G_{\xi\eta} + \alpha_7 T_{\xi\eta} + ... = 0 && (19)\\
&G'_{\eta\xi} - G'_{\xi\eta} = (\alpha_1 - \overline{\alpha_1})G_{\eta\xi} + \alpha_6 M_{\xi\xi} + \alpha_8\phi_{\xi\xi} + ... = 0 && (20)\\
&M'_{\eta\xi} - G'_\eta G'_\xi + \frac{1}{2T'^2}(T'_\xi T'_\eta + \phi'_\xi\phi'_\eta) = \beta_1 G_{\eta\xi} + \beta_3 T_{\eta\xi} \\
&+\beta_6 M_{\xi\xi} + \beta_7 T_{\xi\xi} + \beta_8\phi_{\xi\xi} + ... = 0 && (21)\\
&T'_{\eta\xi} - T'_{\xi\eta} = (\gamma_1 - \overline{\gamma_1})G_{\eta\xi} + (\gamma_3 - \overline{\gamma_3})T_{\eta\xi} + \gamma_6 M_{\xi\xi} \\
&+\gamma_7 T_{\xi\xi} + \gamma_8\phi_{\xi\xi} + ... = 0 && (22)\\
&\phi'_{\eta\xi} + \frac{1}{2}(G'_\eta\phi'_\xi + G'_\xi\phi'_\eta) - \frac{1}{T'}(T'_\xi\phi'_\eta + T'_\eta\phi'_\xi) \\
&= \delta_1 G_{\eta\xi} + \delta_3 T_{\eta\xi} + \delta_6 M_{\xi\xi} + \delta_7 T_{\xi\xi} + \delta_8\phi_{\xi\xi} + ... = 0 && (23)
\end{aligned}$$

where only some of the linearly independent terms with their coefficients are shown.Therefore we must have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = \alpha_4 = \alpha_5 = \alpha_6 = \alpha_7 = \alpha_8 = 0 && (24)\\
&\overline{\alpha_1} = \alpha_1 && (25)\\
&\beta_1 = \beta_3 = \beta_6 = \beta_7 = \beta_8 = 0 && (26)\\
&\gamma_6 = \gamma_7 = \gamma_8 = 0 && (27)\\
&\overline{\gamma_1} = \gamma_1,\ \overline{\gamma_3} = \gamma_3 && (28)\\
&\delta_1 = \delta_3 = \delta_6 = \delta_7 = \delta_8 = 0 && (29)
\end{aligned}$$

and the BTs become

$$\begin{aligned}
&G'_\eta = \alpha_1 G_\eta + \alpha_0 && (30)\\
&M'_\eta = \beta_2 M_\eta + \beta_4\phi_\eta + \beta_5 G_\xi + \beta_0 && (31)\\
&T'_\eta = \gamma_1 G_\eta + \gamma_2 M_\eta + \gamma_3 T_\eta + \gamma_4\phi_\eta + \gamma_5 G_\xi + \gamma_0 && (32)\\
&\phi'_\eta = \delta_2 M_\eta + \delta_4\phi_\eta + \delta_5 G_\xi + \delta_0 && (33)
\end{aligned}$$

Also using expressions (30)-(33) and the equations which the functions G, M, and ϕ satisfy we get :

$$G'_{\eta\eta} - M'_\eta G'_\eta + \frac{1}{2T'^2}(T'^2_\eta + \phi'^2_\eta) = \frac{1}{2T'^2}(\gamma_2^2 + \delta_2^2)M_\eta^2 + ... = 0 \tag{34}$$

$$M'_{\eta\xi} - M'_{\xi\eta} = (\beta_2 - \overline{\beta_2})G_\eta G_\xi - \frac{\beta_5}{2T^2}(T_\xi^2 + \phi_\xi^2) + ... = 0 \tag{35}$$

$$T'_{\eta\xi} - T'_{\xi\eta} = -\frac{\gamma_5}{2T^2}(T_\xi^2 + \phi_\xi^2) + ... = 0 \tag{36}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\phi'_{\eta\xi} + \frac{1}{2}(G'_\eta \phi'_\xi + G'_\xi \phi'_\eta) - \frac{1}{T'}(T'_\xi \phi'_\eta + T'_\eta \phi'_\xi)\\ &= \{\delta_2 - \frac{1}{T'}(\gamma_5 \overline{\delta_5} + \overline{\gamma_5}\delta_5)\}G_\xi G_\eta - \frac{\delta_5}{2T^2}(T_\xi^2 + \phi_\xi^2) + ... = 0\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

Therefore we must have

$$\beta_5 = \gamma_2 = \gamma_5 = \delta_2 = \delta_5 = 0 \tag{38}$$

$$\overline{\beta_2} = \beta_2 \tag{39}$$

Thus the BTs become

$$G'_\eta = \alpha_1 G_\eta + \alpha_0 \tag{40}$$

$$M'_\eta = \beta_2 M_\eta + \beta_4 \phi_\eta + \beta_0 \tag{41}$$

$$T'_\eta = \gamma_1 G_\eta + \gamma_3 T_\eta + \gamma_4 \phi_\eta + \gamma_0 \tag{42}$$

$$\phi'_\eta = \delta_4 \phi_\eta + \delta_0 \tag{43}$$

where α_1, β_2, γ_1 and γ_3 are real functions.

To proceed further we introduce the notation

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{,G} &\equiv \Delta_G + \alpha_1 \Delta_{G'} + \gamma_1 \Delta_{T'}\\
\Delta_{,M} &\equiv \Delta_M + \beta_2 \Delta_{M'}\\
\Delta_{,T} &\equiv \Delta_T + \gamma_3 \Delta_{T'}\\
\Delta_{,\phi} &\equiv \Delta_\phi + \beta_4 \Delta_{M'} + \gamma_4 \Delta_{T'} + \delta_4 \Delta_{\phi'}\\
\Delta_{,\overline{\phi}} &\equiv \Delta_\phi + \overline{\beta_4} \Delta_{M'} + \overline{\gamma_4} \Delta_{T'} + \overline{\delta_4} \Delta_{\phi'}\\
\Delta_{,\eta} &\equiv \Delta_\eta + \alpha_0 \Delta_{G'} + \beta_0 \Delta_{M'} + \gamma_0 \Delta_{T'} + \delta_0 \Delta_{\phi'}
\end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

where $\Delta = \alpha_1, \alpha_0, \beta_2, ... \ \delta_0$.Then using Eqs (40) - (43) we get from the equations the functions G', M' and ϕ' must satisfy and from the integrability conditions a big number of equations. We give some of them. From (8) for the new solution we get :

$$-\frac{\alpha_1}{T^2}+\frac{\gamma_3^2}{T'^2}=0 \tag{45}$$

$$\alpha_{1,\,\eta}+\alpha_{0,\,G}-\alpha_1\beta_0+\frac{\gamma_1\gamma_0}{T'^2}=0 \tag{46}$$

$$\alpha_{1,\,G}+\frac{\gamma_1^2}{2T'^2}=0 \tag{47}$$

$$\gamma_3\gamma_4=0 \tag{48}$$

$$-\frac{\alpha_1}{T^2}+\frac{\gamma_4^2+\delta_4^2}{T'^2}=0 \tag{49}$$

Also from Eq (9) we get :

$$\beta_2-\alpha_1^2+\frac{\gamma_1^2}{2T'^2}=0 \tag{50}$$

$$\gamma_1\gamma_3=0 \tag{51}$$

$$-\frac{\beta_4}{2}+\frac{\gamma_1\overline{\gamma_4}}{2T'^2}=0 \tag{52}$$

$$-\alpha_1\overline{\alpha_0}+\frac{\gamma_1\overline{\gamma_0}}{T'^2}=0 \tag{53}$$

$$\beta_{2,\,\xi}=0 \tag{54}$$

$$-\frac{\beta_2}{T^2}+\frac{\gamma_3^2}{T'^2}=0 \tag{55}$$

$$\gamma_3\overline{\gamma_0}=0 \tag{56}$$

and from Eq (10) we get :

$$\frac{\delta_4}{T}-\frac{\gamma_3\overline{\delta_4}}{T'}=0 \tag{57}$$

$$\gamma_3\overline{\delta_0}=0 \tag{58}$$

Eqs (45)-(58) imply that most of the coefficients in the BTs (40)-(43) vanish. To show that we shall consider two cases.

Case 1

$$\gamma_3\neq 0 \tag{59}$$

Then Eqs (48), (51), (52), (56) and (58) imply

$$\beta_4=\gamma_1=\gamma_4=\gamma_0=\delta_0=0 \tag{60}$$

But if $\gamma_0 = 0$ Eq (53) implies the relation $\alpha_1\alpha_0 = 0$. However if $\alpha_1 = 0$ (50) gives $\beta_2 = 0$ in which case Eq (55) implies the relation $\gamma_3 = 0$, contrary to Eq (59). Therefore we must take

$$\alpha_0 = 0 \tag{61}$$

in which case Eq (46) gives $\alpha_{1,\,\eta} - \alpha_1\beta_0 = 0$. From Eqs (25) and (50) we get $\beta_2 = \alpha_1^2$: real. Then Eq (54) gives $\beta_{2,\,\eta} = 0$ and since $\beta_{2,\,\eta} = 2\alpha_1\alpha_{1,\,\eta}$ we find that $\alpha_{1,\,\eta} = 0$ since $\alpha_1 \neq 0$. Thus we have

$$\beta_0 = 0 \tag{62}$$

Therefore we get the BT

$$G'_\eta = \alpha_1 G_\eta,\ M'_\eta = \beta_2 M_\eta,\ T'_\eta = \gamma_3 T_\eta,\ \phi'_\eta = \delta_4 \phi_\eta \tag{63}$$

where α_1, β_2 and γ_3 are real functions. Substituting expressions (63) to equations which G', M' and ϕ' satisfy and to the integrability conditions and solving the resulting equations we get the trivial relations

$$G' = G + c_1,\ M' = M + c_2,\ T' = c_3 T,\ \phi' = \pm c_3\phi + c_4 \tag{64}$$

where c_1, c_2, c_3 and c_4 are arbitrary real constants.

Case 2

$$\gamma_3 = 0 \tag{65}$$

Then from Eqs (45), (47), (49), (50), (52) and (57) we get

$$\alpha_1 = \beta_2 = \beta_4 = \gamma_1 = \gamma_4 = \delta_4 = 0 \tag{66}$$

Therefore we obtain the non-interesting relations

$$G'_\eta = \alpha_0,\ M'_\eta = \beta_0,\ T'_\eta = \gamma_0,\ \phi'_\eta = \delta_0 \tag{67}$$

Our conclusion is that Clairin's method, which in the vacuum case gives all known BTs [4], does not give interesting BTs in the general case.

3 Bäcklund Transformations if $\mu + 3p = 0$

If $\mu + 3p = 0$ Eq (12) gives $Re\Lambda = 0$, which combined with (10) gives $\Lambda = 0$. Therefore the system of Eqs (8) - (11) in the variables $G,\ M,\ E$, and $\overline{E}$ (for simplicity we write also $E + \overline{E} = 2T$) takes the form

$$G_{\eta\eta} - M_\eta G_\eta + \frac{1}{2T^2} E_\eta \overline{E}_\eta = 0 \tag{68}$$

$$M_{\eta\xi} - G_\eta G_\xi + \frac{1}{4T^2} (E_\xi \overline{E}_\eta + \overline{E}_\xi E_\eta) = 0 \tag{69}$$

$$\frac{1}{T}\Lambda = E_{\eta\xi} + \frac{1}{2}(G_\eta E_\xi + G_\xi E_\eta) - \frac{1}{T} E_\xi E_\eta = 0 \tag{70}$$

$$p = 2Te^{-G-M}(G_{\eta\xi} + G_\eta G_\xi) \tag{71}$$

We want to find BTs for the system of Eqs (68) - (70) following Clairin's method. The most general BTs are given by the relations

$$\begin{aligned} G'_\eta &= \zeta_0 + \zeta_1 G_\eta + \zeta_2 M_\eta + \zeta_3 E_\eta + \zeta_4 \overline{E}_\eta + \zeta_5 G_\xi \\ &+ \zeta_6 M_\xi + \zeta_7 E_\xi + \zeta_8 \overline{E}_\xi \end{aligned} \tag{72}$$

$$\begin{aligned} M'_\eta &= \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 G_\eta + \lambda_2 M_\eta + \lambda_3 E_\eta + \lambda_4 \overline{E}_\eta + \lambda_5 G_\xi \\ &+ \lambda_6 M_\xi + \lambda_7 E_\xi + \lambda_8 \overline{E}_\xi \end{aligned} \tag{73}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E'_\eta &= \mu_0 + \mu_1 G_\eta + \mu_2 M_\eta + \mu_3 E_\eta + \mu_4 \overline{E}_\eta + \mu_5 G_\xi \\ &+ \mu_6 M_\xi + \mu_7 E_\xi + \mu_8 \overline{E}_\xi \end{aligned} \tag{74}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{E'}_\eta &= \nu_0 + \nu_1 G_\eta + \nu_2 M_\eta + \nu_3 E_\eta + \nu_4 \overline{E}_\eta + \nu_5 G_\xi \\ &+ \nu_6 M_\xi + \nu_7 E_\xi + \nu_8 \overline{E}_\xi \end{aligned} \tag{75}$$

$$G'_\xi = \overline{G'_\eta},\ M'_\xi = \overline{M'_\eta},\ E'_\xi = \overline{\overline{E'}_\eta},\ \overline{E'}_\xi = \overline{E'_\eta} \tag{76}$$

while p' is given by Eq (71) in terms of T', G', and M'. Proceeding as in the previous case we find that

$$\zeta_2 = \zeta_3 = \zeta_4 = \zeta_5 = \zeta_6 = \zeta_7 = \zeta_8 = 0,\ \overline{\zeta_1} = \zeta_1 \tag{77}$$

$$\kappa_1 = \kappa_5 = \kappa_6 = \kappa_7 = \kappa_8 = 0,\ \kappa = \lambda,\ \mu,\ \nu, \tag{78}$$

Therefore our BTs are reduced to

$$G'_\eta = \zeta_0 + \zeta_1 G_\eta,\ \overline{\zeta_1} = \zeta_1 \tag{79}$$

$$M'_\eta = \lambda_0 + \lambda_2 M_\eta + \lambda_3 E_\eta + \lambda_4 \overline{E}_\eta \tag{80}$$

$$E'_\eta = \mu_0 + \mu_2 M_\eta + \mu_3 E_\eta + \mu_4 \overline{E}_\eta \tag{81}$$

$$\overline{E'}_\eta = \nu_0 + \nu_2 M_\eta + \nu_3 E_\eta + \nu_4 \overline{E}_\eta \tag{82}$$

Then we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&G'_{\eta\eta} - M'_\eta G'_\eta + \frac{1}{2T'^2} E'_\eta \overline{E'}_\eta = \frac{1}{2T'^2}\{\mu_2\nu_2 M_\eta^2 + (\mu_2\nu_3 + \mu_3\nu_2) M_\eta E_\eta \\
&+(\mu_2\nu_4 + \mu_4\nu_2) M_\eta \overline{E}_\eta + \mu_3\nu_3 E_\eta^2 + \mu_4\nu_4 \overline{E}_\eta^2\} + \{-\frac{\zeta_1}{2T^2} \\
&+\frac{\mu_3\nu_4 + \mu_4\nu_3}{2T'^2}\} E_\eta \overline{E}_\eta + \{\zeta_{0G} + \zeta_{0G'}\zeta_1 + \zeta_{1\eta} + \zeta_{1G'}\zeta_0 + \zeta_{1M'}\lambda_0 + \zeta_{1E'}\mu_0 \\
&+\zeta_{1\overline{E'}}\nu_0 - \lambda_0\zeta_1\} G_\eta + ... = 0 \qquad (83)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&M'_{\eta\xi} - G'_\eta G'_\xi + \frac{1}{4T'^2}(E'_\xi \overline{E'}_\eta + \overline{E'}_\xi E'_\eta) = (\lambda_2 - \zeta_1^2) G_\xi G_\eta - \zeta_1 \overline{\zeta_0} G_\eta \\
&+\frac{1}{2T'^2}\{(\mu_3\overline{\mu_0} + \nu_3\overline{\nu_0}) E_\eta + (\mu_4\overline{\mu_0} + \nu_4\overline{\nu_0}) \overline{E}_\eta\} - \frac{\lambda_3}{2} G_\eta E_\xi \\
&-\frac{\lambda_4}{2} G_\eta \overline{E}_\xi + ... = 0 \qquad (84)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&E'_{\eta\xi} + \frac{1}{2}(G'_\eta E'_\xi + G'_\xi E'_\eta) - \frac{1}{T'} E'_\xi E'_\eta = \mu_2 G_\eta G_\xi + \frac{1}{2}\zeta_1 \overline{\nu_0} G_\eta \\
&+(\mu_{3\xi} + \frac{\overline{\zeta_0}\mu_3}{2} - \frac{\mu_3\overline{\nu_0}}{T'}) E_\eta + (\mu_{4\xi} + \frac{\overline{\zeta_0}\mu_4}{2} - \frac{\mu_4\overline{\nu_0}}{T'}) \overline{E}_\eta + (-\frac{\mu_3}{2} \\
&+\frac{\zeta_1\overline{\nu_4}}{2}) G_\eta E_\xi + (-\frac{\mu_4}{2} + \frac{\zeta_1\overline{\nu_3}}{2}) G_\eta \overline{E}_\xi + \frac{1}{2}\zeta_1 \overline{\nu_2} G_\eta M_\xi + ... = 0 \qquad (85)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&E'_{\xi\eta} + \frac{1}{2}(G'_\eta E'_\xi + G'_\xi E'_\eta) - \frac{1}{T'} E'_\xi E'_\eta = \overline{\nu_2} G_\eta G_\xi + \frac{1}{2}\zeta_1 \mu_0 G_\xi \\
&+(\overline{\nu_4}_\eta + \frac{\zeta_0\overline{\nu_4}}{2} - \frac{\mu_0\overline{\nu_4}}{T'}) E_\xi + (\overline{\nu}_{3\eta} + \frac{\zeta_0\overline{\nu_3}}{2} - \frac{\mu_0\overline{\nu_3}}{T'}) \overline{E}_\xi + (-\frac{\overline{\nu_4}}{2} \\
&+\frac{\zeta_1\mu_3}{2}) G_\xi E_\eta + (-\frac{\overline{\nu_3}}{2} + \frac{\zeta_1\mu_4}{2}) G_\xi \overline{E}_\eta + \frac{1}{2}\zeta_1 \mu_2 G_\xi M_\eta + ... = 0 \qquad (86)
\end{aligned}
$$

We equate to zero the coefficients of the linearly independent terms M_η^2, $M_\eta E_\eta$, $M_\eta \overline{E_\eta}$, ... and solve the resulting equations. Then we get :

Case 1

$$\zeta_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = \lambda_4 = \mu_2 = \mu_3 = \mu_4 = \nu_2 = \nu_3 = \nu_4 = 0 \qquad (87)$$

Case 2

$$\zeta_0 = \lambda_0 = \lambda_3 = \lambda_4 = \mu_0 = \mu_2 = \mu_4 = \nu_0 = \nu_2 = \nu_3 = 0 \tag{88}$$

$$\zeta_1 = \pm 1,\ \lambda_2 = 1,\ \nu_4 = \pm\overline{\mu_3},\ \mu_{3\xi} = \mu_{3\eta} = 0 \tag{89}$$

$$\mu_3\overline{\mu_3} = \frac{T'^2}{T^2} \tag{90}$$

Case 3

$$\zeta_0 = \lambda_0 = \lambda_3 = \lambda_4 = \mu_0 = \mu_2 = \mu_3 = \nu_0 = \nu_2 = \nu_4 = 0 \tag{91}$$

$$\zeta_1 = \pm 1,\ \lambda_2 = 1,\ \nu_3 = \pm\overline{\mu_4},\ \mu_{4\xi} = \mu_{4\eta} = 0 \tag{92}$$

$$\mu_4\overline{\mu_4} = \frac{T'^2}{T^2} \tag{93}$$

We can further show that the expressions with the minus sign in Eqs (89) and (92) should be dropped. Indeed we get for this sign and Case 2

$$E'_{\eta\xi} - E'_{\xi\eta} = (-\mu_3 + \mu_{3G})G_\eta E_\xi + ... = 0 \tag{94}$$

The above implies the relation $\mu_{3G} - \mu_3 = 0$, which is not consistent with Eq (90). Similarly we proceed in Case 3 . Therefore we find the following BTs :

Case 1

$$G'_\eta = \zeta_0,\ M'_\eta = \lambda_0,\ E'_\eta = \mu_0,\ \overline{E'}_\eta = \nu_0 \tag{95}$$

This case is not interesting.

Case 2

$$G'_\eta = G_\eta,\ M'_\eta = M_\eta,\ E'_\eta = \mu_3 E_\eta,\ \overline{E'}_\eta = \overline{\mu_3}\overline{E}_\eta \tag{96}$$

$$\mu_{3\xi} = \mu_{3\eta} = 0,\ \mu_3\overline{\mu_3} = \frac{T'^2}{T^2} \tag{97}$$

Case 3

$$G'_\eta = G_\eta,\ M'_\eta = M_\eta,\ E'_\eta = \mu_4 \overline{E}_\eta,\ \overline{E'}_\eta = \overline{\mu_4} E_\eta \tag{98}$$

$$\mu_{4\xi} = \mu_{4\eta} = 0,\ \mu_4\overline{\mu_4} = \frac{T'^2}{T^2} \tag{99}$$

We shall consider Cases 2 and 3 only.

We can easily check that the BTs of Eqs (96) - (97) and also the BTs of Eqs (98) - (99) satisfy Eqs (68) and (69). Therefore we shall determine

μ_3 and μ_4 by requiring Eq (70) to be satisfied. Substituting expressions (96) into Eq (70), using Eq (70) to eliminate $E_{\xi\eta}$ from the resulting equation and equating to zero the coefficients of the linearly independent terms we get

$$\mu_{3G} = \mu_{3M} = 0 \tag{100}$$
$$\mu_{3E} + \mu_3\mu_{3E'} + \frac{1}{T}\mu_3 - \frac{1}{T'}\mu_3^2 = 0 \tag{101}$$
$$\mu_{3\overline{E}} + \overline{\mu_3}\mu_{3\overline{E'}} = 0 \tag{102}$$

If we write

$$\mu_3 = \frac{T'}{T}v,\ \mu_4 = \frac{T'}{T}w \tag{103}$$

we get from Eqs (97) and (99)

$$v\overline{v} = 1,\ w\overline{w} = 1 \tag{104}$$

Substituting expression (103) for μ_3 into Eqs (97) and (100) - (102) we get

$$v_\xi = v_\eta = v_G = v_M = 0 \tag{105}$$
$$Tv_E + T'vv_{E'} + \frac{1}{2}(v - v^2) = 0 \tag{106}$$
$$Tv_{\overline{E}} - T'v\overline{v}_{\overline{E}'} + \frac{1}{2}(1 - v) = 0 \tag{107}$$

Any complex number v satisfying the first of (104) can be written in the form

$$v = \frac{x+i}{x-i},\ x:\ \text{real} \tag{108}$$

Substituting expression (108) into Eqs (105) - (107) we get in real variables

$$x_\xi = x_\eta = x_G = x_M = 0 \tag{109}$$
$$Txx_T - Tx_\phi + T'xx_{T'} + T'x_{\phi'} + x^2 + 1 = 0 \tag{110}$$
$$Tx_T + Txx_\phi - T'x_{T'} + T'xx_{\phi'} = 0 \tag{111}$$
$$T(x^2+1)x_T + T'(x^2-1)x_{T'} + 2T'xx_{\phi'} + x(x^2+1) = 0 \tag{112}$$
$$T(x^2+1)x_\phi - 2T'xx_{T'} + T'(x^2-1)x_{\phi'} - x^2 - 1 = 0 \tag{113}$$

But multiplying (110) by x and adding to it Eq (111) we get Eq (112), while multiplying (111) by x and subtracting from it Eq (110) we get Eq (113). Therefore if Eqs (110) and (111) are satisfied Eqs (112) and (113 are also satisfied and can be omitted. Also the integrability condition $E'_{\xi\eta} - E'_{\eta\xi} = 0$ leads to Eq (102). Therefore the only relations x should satisfy are Eqs (109) - (111).

If we start from the BTs of Eqs (98) - (99), write

$$w = \frac{y+i}{y-i}, \ y : \text{real} \tag{114}$$

and proceed as before we find that y must satisfy the relations

$$y_\xi = y_\eta = y_G = y_M = 0 \tag{115}$$

$$Tyy_T + Ty_\phi + T'yy_{T'} + T'y_{\phi'} + y^2 + 1 = 0 \tag{116}$$

$$Ty_T - Tyy_\phi - T'y_{T'} + T'yy_{\phi'} = 0 \tag{117}$$

which are obtained from Eqs (109) - (111) if we make the replacement

$$x \to y, \ \phi \to -\phi \tag{118}$$

We shall find the common solution of Eqs (110) and (111). To do that we find separately the general solution of (110) and of (111) and then the most general common solution. To solve (110) we find first the solution of the system

$$\frac{dT}{Tx} = -\frac{d\phi}{T} = \frac{dT'}{T'x} = \frac{d\phi'}{T'} = -\frac{dx}{x^2+1} \tag{119}$$

Solving this system we find that the general solution of (110) is given by

$$H_1\{\frac{T'}{T}, \ T\sqrt{x^2+1}, \ Tx - \phi, \ T'x + \phi'\} = 0 \tag{120}$$

where H_1 is an arbitrary function of its arguments. Similarly we find that the general solution of (111) is given by

$$H_2\{TT', \ x, \ Tx - \phi, \ T'x + \phi'\} = 0 \tag{121}$$

where H_2 is again an arbitrary function of its arguments. The common solution of (110) and (111) can be expressed by an arbitrary function H whose arguments can be constructed from the arguments of H_1 and also from the arguments of H_2 and is given by

$$H\{TT'(x^2+1), \ Tx - \phi, \ T'x + \phi'\} = 0 \tag{122}$$

The above expression gives the general solution of the system in the sense that no arbitrary function of four arguments can express its solution. Indeed the general solution of Eqs (110) and (111) are given by the arbitrary functions

$$H'_1\{\frac{T'}{T},\ TT'(x^2+1),\ Tx-\phi,\ T'x+\phi'\} = 0 \tag{123}$$

$$H'_2\{TT',\ TT'(x^2+1),\ Tx-\phi,\ T'x+\phi'\} = 0 \tag{124}$$

The first arguments $\frac{T'}{T}$ and TT' of H'_1 and H'_2 cannot be replaced by a common expression which is constructed from the four arguments of H'_1 and independently from the four arguments of H'_2 . We can also say that if this was possible then (110) and (111), which are different equations, would have the same general solution. But this is impossible and the proof is completed.

Using Eq (108) we can eliminate x from the arguments of H. We find

$$A \equiv -i(xT-\phi) = E + \frac{E+\overline{E}}{v-1} \tag{125}$$

$$B \equiv -i(xT'+\phi') = \overline{E'} + \frac{E'+\overline{E'}}{v-1} \tag{126}$$

$$D \equiv TT'(x^2+1) - (xT-\phi)(xT'+\phi') = E\overline{E'} + \frac{E\overline{E'}-\overline{E}E'}{v-1} \tag{127}$$

and expression (122) becomes

$$H(A,B,D) = H\{E+\frac{E+\overline{E}}{v-1},\ \overline{E'}+\frac{E'+\overline{E'}}{v-1},\ E\overline{E'}+\frac{E\overline{E'}-\overline{E}E'}{v-1}\} = 0 \tag{128}$$

Also the BTs of Eqs (96) - (97) for the variables E and E' become

$$E'_\alpha = \frac{E'+\overline{E'}}{E+\overline{E}}\,v\,E_\alpha,\ \overline{E'}_\alpha = \frac{E'+\overline{E'}}{E+E'}\,\frac{1}{v}\,\overline{E}_\alpha,\ \alpha=\xi,\ \eta \tag{129}$$

Expressions (129) with v given by Eq (128) are the BTs of Case 2.

To solve Eqs (129) we proceed as follows [10] : We get if we use these equations

$$\partial_\alpha A = -\frac{E+E'}{(v-1)^2}\{v_\alpha - \frac{v-1}{E+\overline{\overline{E}}}(vE_\alpha+\overline{E}_\alpha)\} \tag{130}$$

$$\partial_\alpha B = -\frac{E'+\overline{E'}}{(v-1)^2}\{v_\alpha - \frac{v-1}{E+\overline{\overline{E}}}(vE_\alpha+\overline{E}_\alpha)\} \tag{131}$$

$$\partial_\alpha D = -\frac{E\overline{E'}-\overline{E}E'}{(v-1)^2}\{v_\alpha - \frac{v-1}{E+\overline{\overline{E}}}(vE_\alpha+\overline{E}_\alpha)\} \tag{132}$$

and therefore we have

$$\partial_\alpha H(A,\ B,\ D) = -\frac{1}{(v-1)^2}\{(E+\overline{E})\partial_A H + (E'+\overline{E'})\partial_B H + (E\overline{E'} \\ -\overline{E}E')\partial_D H\}\{v_\alpha - \frac{v-1}{E+\overline{E}}(vE_\alpha + \overline{E}_\alpha)\} \quad (133)$$

Thus at least one of the following relations should hold

$$(A-E)\partial_A H + (B-\overline{E'})\partial_B H + (D-E\overline{E'})\partial_D H = 0 \quad (134)$$

$$v_\alpha - \frac{v-1}{E+\overline{E}}(vE_\alpha + \overline{E}_\alpha) = 0 \quad (135)$$

where in writing (134) we have used Eqs (125) - (127).

Assume that Eq (134) holds, which means that v coming from it satisfies Eqs (110) and (111). Since E , $\overline{E'}$ and $E\overline{E'}$ cannot be obtained from A, B and D this can happen only if H is of the form $H = H(A)$ or $H = H(B)$ or $H = H(D)$. But then solving these equations for A, B or D we get $A = -ic_1$, $B = -ic_2$ or $D = c_3$ respectively (c_1, c_2 and c_3 are constants), which give the relations

$$v = \frac{c_1 + i\overline{E}}{c_1 - iE},\ v = \frac{c_2 + iE'}{c_2 - i\overline{E}'},\ v = \frac{c_3 - \overline{E}E'}{c_3 - E\overline{E}'} \quad (136)$$

Since $v\overline{v} = 1$ the constants c_1, c_2 and c_3 must be real. However if v is given by anyone of (136) and E' and $\overline{E}'$ satisfy Eqs (129) the quantity v satisfies Eq (135). Therefore Eq (135) is always satisfied which means that we have found the BTs (129) where the pseudopotential [11] v is a solution of Eq (135). In this formalism the pseudopotential was obtained from the arbitrary function H.

The general solution of Eq (135) is

$$v = \frac{c + i\overline{E}}{c - iE} \quad (137)$$

where c is an arbitrary real constant. Substituting (137) into (129) and solving the resulting relation we get

$$E' = \frac{E + ic_1}{ic_2 E + c_3} \quad (138)$$

where c_1, c_2 and c_3 are arbitrary real constants. The above relation is the transformation of Ehlers [5]

To obtain the BTs of Case 3 we have to solve Eqs (115) - (117). The general solution of these equations, which comes from the expression (122) if we make the substitution of Eqs (118), is given by the relation

$$Q\{TT'(y^2+1),\ Ty+\phi,\ T'y+\phi'\}=0 \tag{139}$$

where Q is an arbitrary function of its arguments. Proceeding as in the previous case we find that expression (139) becomes

$$Q\{\overline{E}+\frac{E+\overline{E}}{w-1},\ \overline{E}'+\frac{E'+\overline{E}'}{w-1},\ \overline{E}\,\overline{E}'+\frac{\overline{E}\,\overline{E}'-EE'}{w-1}\}=0 \tag{140}$$

Also the BTs of Eqs (98) and (99) can be written in the form

$$\partial_\alpha E'=\frac{E'+\overline{E}'}{E+\overline{E}}\,w\,\partial_\alpha\overline{E},\ \partial_\alpha\overline{E}'=\frac{E'+\overline{E}'}{E+\overline{E}}\,\frac{1}{w}\partial_\alpha E,\ \ \alpha=\xi,\ \eta \tag{141}$$

The above expressions with w given by Eq (140) are the BTs of Case 3.

Proceeding as before we find that

$$w=\frac{c+iE}{c-i\overline{E}} \tag{142}$$

$$E'=\frac{\overline{E}+ic_1}{ic_2\overline{E}+c_3} \tag{143}$$

where c, c_1, c_2, c_3 are arbitrary real constants. The BT (143) is essentially a trivial modification of the BT (138) since if $E=T+i\phi$ is a solution of the system of Eqs (68) - (70) then $E=T-i\phi$ is again a solution of the same system.

To find p' and μ' of the new solution we have to use the relation $\mu+3p=0$ and Eqs (71), (96), (98), (138) and (143). We find for Case 2 :

$$p'=\frac{c_1c_2+c_3}{c_2^2}\frac{p}{T^2+(\phi-\frac{c_3}{c_2})^2},\ \mu'=\frac{c_1c_2+c_3}{c_2^2}\frac{\mu}{T^2+(\phi-\frac{c_3}{c_2})^2} \tag{144}$$

while p' and μ' for Case 3 come from the above expressions if we make the substitution $\phi\to-\phi$.

The fact that G', M' and E' of Eqs (96) and (138) give a new solution of Eqs (68) - (70) can be seen as follows : Under these transformations Eqs (68) and (69) are invariant, while Eq (70) becomes

$$\Lambda(E',\ G')=-\frac{c_1c_2+c_3}{c_2^2}(E-i\frac{c_3}{c_2})^{-3}(E+i\frac{c_3}{c_2})^{-1}\Lambda(E,\ G)=0 \tag{145}$$

i.e. it is also satisfied. Similarly we find that G', M' and E' of Eqs (98) and (143) give a solution of Eqs (68) - (70).

References

[1] R M Miura (Ed), *Bäcklund Transformations the Inverse Scattering Method, Solitons and their Applications* , NSF Research Workshop on Contact Transformations, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **515** (Springer Verlang, Berlin, 1976)

[2] J Clairin, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup.3^e Ser. Suppl. **19**, 1 (1902)

[3] J Clairin, Ann. Fac. Sci. Univ. Toulouse 2^e Ser. **5**, 437 (1903)

[4] M Omote and M Wadati, J. Math. Phys. **22**, 961 (1981)

[5] J Ehlers, Dissertation, Hamburg (1957)

[6] G Neugebauer, J, Phys, A : Math. Gen. **12**, L67 (1979)

[7] B K Harrison, Phys. Rev. Lett. **41**, 1197 (1978)

[8] M Omote Y Michihiro and M Wadati, Phys. Lett. A **79**, 141 (1980)

[9] E Kyriakopoulos *Einstein's Field Equations for the Interior of a Uniformly Rotating, Stationary, Axisymmetric Perfect Fluid* , NTUA preprint, submitted for publication

[10] E Kyriakopoulos J. Phys. A : Math. Gen. **20**, 1669 (1987)

[11] H D Wahlquist and F B Estabrook, J. Math. Phys. **16**, 1 1975